

Kevin R. Garden (VA Bar No. 30244)  
 The Garden Law Firm, P.C.  
 211 North Union Street, Suite 100  
 Alexandria, VA 22314  
 Tel.: (703) 519-1286  
 Fax: (703) 977-1330  
 kg@legal-counsels.com  
*pro hac vice*

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
 POLIMASTER LTD., NA&SE TRADING CO., LTD.

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
 John P. Flynn (Bar No. 141094)  
 Tracey L. Orick (Bar No. 240904)  
 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
 San Francisco, California 94111-2562  
 Tel: (415) 391-0600  
 Fax: (415) 395-8095  
 John.Flynn@lw.com  
 Tracey.Orick@lw.com

Attorneys for Defendant  
 RAE SYSTEMS, INC.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 (SAN JOSE DIVISION)

POLIMASTER LTD., NA&SE TRADING CO.,	)	CASE NO. C 05-01887- JF HRL
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	<b>STIPULATED REQUEST FOR</b>
	)	<b>ORDER EXTENDING TIME</b>
v.	)	<b>FOR SUBMISSION OF REPLY</b>
	)	<b>BRIEFS</b>
RAE SYSTEMS, INC.,	)	
	)	Date: December 7, 2007
Defendant.	)	Time: 9:00 a.m.
	)	Place: Courtroom 3 (Fifth Floor)
	)	Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel
	)	

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, the parties hereby file this stipulation requesting an Order changing the date on which the parties' reply briefs in this matter are due. The Court has set a hearing in this matter for disposition of the respective motions to confirm or vacate the arbitration award for December 7, 2007. Under the Court's Local Rules, the parties' reply briefs

1 would be due November 23, 2007, except for the Thanksgiving holiday which moves that date  
2 forward to November 21, 2007. Because defendant RAE Systems, Inc.'s opposition is not due  
3 until November 16, 2007, this date would only provide plaintiffs Polimaster Ltd. and Na&Se  
4 Trading Co. with five days to prepare a reply. For that reason, the parties are jointly seeking an  
5 extension of the due date for their respective reply briefs to and including November 26, 2007,  
6 which is the Monday following the Thanksgiving holiday.

7 The parties have filed an accompanying declaration which sets forth with particularity the  
8 reasons for this request, discloses that there have been no other extension requests, and states that  
9 this request should not result in any modifications to the schedule for this case.

10  
11 Dated: November 8, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

12 By: /s/ Kevin R. Garden  
13 Kevin R. Garden  
14 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
15 POLIMASTER LTD.,  
16 NA&SE SYSTEMS, INC.

By: /s/ John P. Flynn  
John P. Flynn  
Attorney for Defendant  
RAE SYSTEMS, INC.

17 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

18  
19 Dated: 11/15/07

20   
21 Jeremy Fogel  
22 United States District Judge  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28